arXiv:1402.2387v2 [gr-qc] 13 Feb 2014

A New $2+1$ Dimensional Einstein Gravity Solution Coupled to Born-Infeld Electromagnetic Theory without Cosmological Constant

Masashi Kuniyasu*
Graduate School of Science and Technology, Yamaguchi University,
Yamaguchi-shi, Yamaguchi 753–8512, Japan

February 14, 2014

Abstract

A new solution of the Einstein-Born-Infeld theory in $2+1$ space-time is derived. A new solution has no horizon there are two singularity. This space-time has two singular points, however, one of the point at the origin is not in the physical region. We also investigate the energycondition. Then, weak energy condition is satisfied. However, causal energy condition is violated.

The theory of gravity in $2+1$ dimensional space-time was first investigated by Deser et al [1]. Anyone could not find black hole solution in $2+1$ space-time around 80's. However, Bañados et al [2] derived black hole solution in the $2+1$ Anti-de-Sitter space time. After their research, the solution in $2+1$ space-time with matter field was investigated. In this paper, we attention non linear electrodynamic (NED) as the matter field.

Einstein's gravity solutions in $2+1$ space-time that couple to NED was first investigated by Caldro et al [3],[4]. They treated circular symmetric electric field. Recently, Mazaharimousavi found new solutions with special vector potential that is considered in our paper. There is consistent solutions with Maxwell electrodynamic field [5] and $\sqrt{|F|}$ type NED without cosmological constant [6]. Then, in this paper, we try to find a new $2+1$ gravity solution coupled to Born-Infeld type NED[7] without cosmological constant.

Let us take the $2+1$ dimensional action which is coupled to electrodynamic field without cosmological constant

$$I = \frac{1}{2}\int d^3x\sqrt{-g}(R - \alpha L(F))\,. \tag{1}$$

Where R is the Ricci scalar, α is a coupling constant and F is the Maxwell invariant which is defined by $F = F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ with $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. The explicit form of the Laglangian is given by

$$L(F) = 4b^2\left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{F}{2b^2}}\right)\,. \tag{2}$$

Where b is a constant. We get the following Einstein equation from the variation of the action (1) which is respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$G^\mu_\nu = T^\mu_\nu\,. \tag{3}$$

Where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress tensor of the electromagnetic field given by

$$T^\mu_\nu = \alpha\left(-2F^{\mu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda}L_{,F} + \delta^\mu_\nu\frac{L}{2}\right)\,, \tag{4}$$

in which $L_{,F}$ means differential of F and the explicit form is

$$L_{,F} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1 + F/2b^2}}\,. \tag{5}$$

Next, let us set the electric field ansatz as

$$F_{t\theta} = E_0\,. \tag{6}$$

Where E_0 is a constant. Electric potential such as

$$\mathbf{A} = E_0\,(-c\theta, 0, at)\,, \tag{7}$$

generate the electric field (6) (two constant a and c satisfy the relation $a + c = 1$). Above electric field ansatz was investigated by Mazharimousavi at el [5],[6]. They considered F^k type electromagnetic field of $k = 1/2, 1$. Then, we set metric ansatz as follows:

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2\,. \tag{8}$$

In which r_0 is a constant, and $B(r)$ is some arbitrarily function. Such as metric is derived by $F^{1/2}$ type

*s007vc@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

electromagnetic field (radial scalar field without a cosmological constant is also consistent with above metric ansatz [8]). So, we try (8) type ansatz. This metric generate the following Einstein tensor

$$G^t_t = G^\theta_\theta = -\frac{1}{2rB^2}\frac{dB}{dr}\,, \tag{9}$$

$$G^r_r = \frac{1}{r^2 B}\,. \tag{10}$$

Addition, Maxwell invariant $F_{t\theta}$ is given by

$$F = 2F_{t\theta}F^{t\theta} = -2\frac{r_0^2 E_0^2}{r^4}\,. \tag{11}$$

Non zero component of the stress tensor becomes as follows

$$T^t_t = T^\theta_\theta = -2\alpha b^2 \frac{\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{r^4b^2}}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{r^4b^2}}}\,, \tag{12}$$

$$T^r_r = 2\alpha b^2\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{r^4b^2}}\right)\,. \tag{13}$$

From radial component of the Einstein equation (3), we can determinate function $B(r)$

$$B(r) = \frac{1}{2\alpha b^2 r^2\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{r^4b^2}}\right)}\,. \tag{14}$$

This function is consistent with temporal and angle part of the Einstein equation because the differential of the function $B(r)$ is

$$\frac{dB}{dr} = 4\alpha b^2 r B^2 \frac{\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{r^4b^2}}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{r^4b^2}}}\,. \tag{15}$$

That is why we conclude the new $2+1$ Einstein-Born-Infeld solution without the cosmological constant is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 =& -\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 dt^2 \\ &+ \frac{dr^2}{2\alpha b^2\left(r^2-\sqrt{r^4-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{b^2}}\right)} + r^2 d\theta^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We notice that r must be lager than $\sqrt{r_0E_0/b}$. Addition, α must be positive to get the $2+1$ space-time.

Next, to analyze the singular point of space-time (16), we calculate the invariant $\mathcal{R} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} =& 2\left(\frac{1}{rB^2}\frac{dB}{dr}\right)^2 + \frac{4}{r^4B^2} \\ =& 8(G^t_t)^2 + 4(G^r_r)^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here, let us use the Einstein equation (3) and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} =& 16\alpha^2 b^4\left(r^2-\sqrt{r^4-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{b^2}}\right)^2 \\ &\times \frac{1}{r^4}\frac{3r^4-r_0^2E_0^2/b^2}{r^4-r_0^2E_0^2/b^2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

From the invariant $\mathcal{R}$, this space time has two singular points at $r=0$ and $r=\sqrt{r_0E_0/b}$. However, physical region of space-time (16) is $r>\sqrt{r_0E_0/b}$, $r=0$ singular point is not naked. On the other hand, singular $r=\sqrt{r_0E_0/b}$ is naked. That is why we conclude our new solution (16) has one naked singular point.

Finally, let us consider the energy condition. We define following quantities;

$$\rho = -T^t_t\,, \tag{19}$$

$$p = T^r_r\,, \tag{20}$$

$$q = T^\theta_\theta = -\rho\,. \tag{21}$$

Weak energy conditions (WECs) means, i) $\rho \geq 0$, ii)$\rho + p \geq 0$ and iii)$\rho + q \geq 0$. Then,

$$\rho + p = \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}\frac{2\alpha E_0^2}{\sqrt{r^4 - r_0^2E_0^2/b^2}}\,, \tag{22}$$

$$\rho + q = 0\,. \tag{23}$$

That is why WECs are all satisfied if the coupling α is positive. It is consistent with the spatial part of the metric is larger than zero. This condition is also derived by Maxwell type theory[5]. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} p_e =& \frac{p+q}{2} \\ =& -\alpha b^2\left[\left(1-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{r^4b^2}\right)^{-1/4} - \left(1-\frac{r_0^2E_0^2}{r^4b^2}\right)^{1/4}\right]^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

It means the causal energy condition $0 \leq p_e \leq 1$ will violate. Moreover, if we take $E_0/b \sim 0$, p_e reaches to zero and the causal energy condition will be satisfied. This means Maxwell limit of the Born-Infeld theory which is consistent with condition of the Einstein Maxwell solution[5].

In this article, we derived a new solution of the Einstein-Born-Infeld theory without cosmological constant. A new solution (16) has no horizon and singular point lies at $r=0$ and $r=\sqrt{r_0E_0/b}$. However, physical region of the space-time (16)is larger than $\sqrt{r_0E_0/b}$. So, we conclude there is one naked singularity in the new space-time (16). We also investigated energy condition, and weak energy conditions was satisfied. However, causal energy condition was violated. Moreover, in the Maxwell limit, causal energy condition will recovered.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Doctor K. Shiraishi for helpful discussions. I also thank him for reading the manuscript.

References

[1] S. Deser, R. Jackiw Annals Phys. 153 (1984) 405-416.

[2] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett.**69**, 1849 (1992).

[3] M. Cataldo and A. Garcia Phys. Rev. **D61**, 084003 (2000).

[4] M. Cataldo and A. Garcia Phys. Lett. B **456** (1999) 28.

[5] S. H. Mazaharimousavi and M. Hailsoy, "New $2+1$ dimensional Einstein-Maxwell solution without cosmological constant", arXiv:13120147.

[6] S. H. Mazharimousavi and M. Hailsoy, "A new Einstein-nonlinear electrodynamics solution in $2+1$ dimensions", arXiv:1304.5206v2.

[7] M. Born and L. Infeld, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A144**, 425 -451 (1934).

[8] H.-J. Schmidt and D. Singleton, Phys. Lett. **B721**, 294 (2013).